

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.msyolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,274	02/05/2001	Jean Paul Marcade	06-00939US07	3685	
54953 BROOKS CA	7590 02/05/201 MERON & HUEBSCI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			WILLSE, DAVID H		
SUITE 500 MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55403	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/777,274	MARCADE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David H. Willse	3738	

	David H. Willse	3738						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED January 21, 2010, FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or [1].	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	in.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CPR 1.13(e), 1 The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
 \(\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) \(\) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) \(\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belot (c) \(\) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) \(\) They present additional claims without canceling a result of the appeal. 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red	E below); ducing or simplifying the						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	the rejections based on 35 USC 1	12, 2 nd paragraph, and	35 USC 101.					
7. A pro purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/David H Willse/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3738						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed added limitation to claim 67 requires further consideration of prior art references teaching flared stent ends for engaging vascular walls (of the sort classified in 623/1.36 of the U.S. patent classification system).